1	
2	
3	
4	VIRGINIA RACING COMMISSION
5	FEBRUARY 16, 2012
6	10700 Horsemen's Road
7	New Kent, VA 23124
8	Commencing at 9:43 a.m.
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	COMMISSION MEMBERS:
14	Stuart Siegel, Chairman
15	J. Sergeant Reynolds, Jr. David C. Reynolds Carol G. Dawson
16	
17	COMMISSION STAFF:
18	Bernard Hettel, Executive Secretary David S. Lermond, Jr., Deputy Executive Secretary
19	Kimberly M. Carter, Office Administrator
20	
21	ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE
22	Amy K. Dilworth
23	
24	CAPITOL REPORTING, INC. REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL REPORTERS
25	(804) 788-4917
	l

	1	2
1		
2	INDEX	
3	Pa	age
4	INFORMAL FACT FINDING HEARING Proposed Major Willy's Satellite Wagering Facility	3
5	Proposed Major willy s saterifte wagering factifty	
6	1. Approval of the minutes of the regular meeting of January 17, 2012	9
7	2. Commissioners Comments	10
8	3. Committee Reports a. Breeders Fund Standardbred Subcommittee	11
9	4. Executive Secretary's Report	12
10	a. To amend Regulations Pertainig to Licensee's Veterinarian	
11	b. To amend regulations pertaining to practicing veterinarian and jockey agent)
12	c. To amend regulations pertainig to Commission Veterinarian	
13	d. To Amend regulations pertaining to the Coupl of entries	ng
14	e. To Amend Retulations Pertaining to penalties for various medication violations	
15	5. Stakeholders	14
16 17	a. Colonial Downs requests 6. Public Participation	32
17 18	 Public Participation Set Next Meeting TBD 	32
10 19	8. Closed Meeting	36
20	9. Adjournment	37
20		57
22		
23		
24		
25		

MR. SIEGEL: Good morning, everyone. 1 2 Prior to calling our meeting to order, we have an 3 Informal Fact Finding presentation that Colonial is going to 4 present to us and have a discussion on going forward, and 5 Staff as well, and so we'll turn it over to Colonial. MR. WEINBERG: Good morning. Thank you. 6 7 we'll begin with a presentation on the business 8 plan for the satellite wagering facility at Major Willy's 9 Restaurant in Shockoe Bottom, and Jeanna Bouzek will make 10 that presentation, and then I'd like to just march through 11 the legal requirements that I believe we have met for approval of the satellite wagering facility. 12 13 MR. SIEGEL: Jeanna? 14 MS. BOUZEK: Good morning. 15 we are excited about the opportunity to go down 16 to Downtown Richmond. We've been looking, I would say, the 17 last couple years for a place down there, and actually, we 18 started talking when it was Stool Pigeons Sports Bar, and 19 unfortunately because they had absentee owners, that restau-20 rant/sports bar didn't make it. 21 So when we found out that Major Willy Mayo's was 22 coming, we immediately went down there, approached the owner 23 who was very willing to parley with us. We came to an 24 agreement. 25 we're going to be in their game room. There will

be a couple of pool tables, but we've got the whole side of
 one of them -- you'll see a drawing in your book there.

We think that Major Willy's will be one day what Finns is out in the Innsbrook area. You know, you've got not only the major hotels down there, the financial district, but you've got the condominiums where the young people are starting to go live, and they can walk over.

8 So we're excited about introducing horse racing 9 to a whole different demographic, and we don't expect it to 10 take any other business from our Broad Street location or 11 our Finn McCool's location.

We're looking for, you know, the site to do about 13 150,000 to 250,000 eventually, just like Finn McCool's, a 14 month. We don't see any reason why it would not.

We're going to run the same signals; we're going to run the same schedule; we're going to have the same employees going back and forth, so we really don't expect that we'll have anything but success down there.

So we have got the approval of the landlord, the landlord's lawyer, and the two co-owners, so we're very excited; and pending the approval today, we'll be ready to open as early as next Tuesday.

23 MR. SIEGEL:

24 MR. WEINBERG: I'd just to like to review briefly 25 the legal requirements for the grant of a license for this

Okay.

CAPITOL REPORTING, INC.

satellite wagering facility, some of which are outlined in 1 the Commission Staff report, but just to highlight a few.

2

3 Richmond has passed the necessary local referendum 4 for locating a satellite wagering facility within its bor-5 ders, and as you know, there are two currently located in Richmond. 6

Colonial Downs is the owner, and Stamps & Grace, 7 8 Incorp. (phonetic) is the operator eligible for the lic-9 enses, and we would ask for the award.

10 There are written agreements with both of the 11 representative horsemen's groups that this Commission has 12 seen and approved, and that's the third requirement for the 13 grant of the license.

14 As reflected in the staff report, Colonial Downs 15 will agree to the two conditions listed there to abide by 16 all critical rules, regulations, and laws, and to only op-17 erate the facility as has been represented to the Commission 18 in the application and at this Fact Finding hearing, and of 19 course, all of the employees there will secure the requisite 20 permits from the Commission to satisfy that need.

21 I would like to highlight that Colonial Downs 22 has advised the Mayor's Office and the City Councilwoman for 23 that District of our plans. As outlined to them, we have 24 not received any objections. I have also talked to the local businessmen's group representing the Bottom, and they 25

CAPITOL REPORTING, INC.

are onboard as well. 1 2 And I think with that, we are happy to respond to 3 any questions that any of the Commissioners have. 4 MR. SIEGEL: Questions at this point from the Commissioners? 5 6 NOTE: There was no response. MR. SIEGEL: What about from the Horsemen? 7 8 MR. PETRAMALO: We support it. 9 MR. SIEGEL: And that includes the Harness Horse 10 folks? 11 MS. SMITH: Yes. 12 MR. REYNOLDS: I have a question. 13 Who is Major Willy Mayo? 14 I would imagine it's the same person MS. BOUZEK: 15 that the Mayo Bridge was named after. 16 MR. SIEGEL: Go ahead. 17 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Actually, he mapped out Church Hill. 18 19 MR. SIEGEL: Is this local ownership? 20 MS. BOUZEK: Yes. 21 MR. SIEGEL: You know, I would just comment that, 22 you know, absentee ownership is never good particularly in 23 something that requires the hands-on attention of a rest-24 aurant. I think there's some risk on Colonial's part of 25 going into a start-up, you know, whether it be locally owned

or otherwise, and when we went to Finn McCool's, you know, 1 2 they have been there awhile, and whether they do well or not 3 I guess is questionable, but I think that moreover, you do 4 have a proven track record at least with that area and the 5 traffic and so on. There's a little greater risk here. For whatever reason, Stool Pigeons didn't make it. Who knows 6 7 what will happen with this one? But you're going into a 8 start up, and I'm sure you recognize the risks as opposed to going somewhere that's been in business for guite awhile and 9 10 significant traffic is already established. And so, it's just a caution. 11

12 Any questions from anyone? We certainly by 13 procedure here have plenty of time to allow rebuttal, but we 14 don't seem to have a great deal of opposition to this.

15 I would just like to say on -- in talking to Staff 16 and also in talking to other Commissioners, we sort of just 17 got this information complete here yesterday. I don't know that any of us would -- other than read the Staff report --18 19 would read every word of this document, but we really have-20 n't been allowed sufficient time to do that. I think that 21 the -- I think as a professional courtesy, beyond what the 22 governance manual suggests, but these things are supposed to 23 be presented to us ten business days prior to presentation 24 at a meeting, and this along with the -- another item that 25 we're going to cover shortly are sort of last minute, and I

CAPITOL REPORTING, INC.

I -- I had heard that the harness horse folks had felt that
 they weren't kept up to speed and weren't part of the pro cess. I think this Commission sort of feels the same way.

I had a discussion with the Executive Secretary about whether we should even defer this so we'd have an opportunity to have sufficient time to review. But I don't think there's necessarily on anyone's part the desire to do that. Our role is to be cooperative and to try to be supportive when it's appropriate to all the constituencies, and we shouldn't vary from that mission.

But I would suggest to you in the future that we do need time, and we do -- we would expect as a courtesy beyond what the regs suggest that these things be submitted to us well in advance so that we do have an opportunity to ask the appropriate questions and to do our own research as well as Staff more time to do their work.

17 So that said, I think that's a charge for you 18 folks going forward.

Any other questions, concerns, comments about thisparticular hearing?

NOTE: There was no response.

MR. SIEGEL: Staff?

21

22

MR. HETTEL: I'd just ask, is there anybody,
public or otherwise who has any comment? I think we've just
got to kind of encapsulate it into that.

CAPITOL REPORTING, INC.

There's a spot in the procedure that calls for 1 2 public comment. Anybody have any comment who's not affil-3 iated with an organization? 4 MR. SIEGEL: This by definition is an Informal 5 Fact Finding hearing, so we're pretty informal here, but I do think we do want to give everybody here the opportunity 6 7 to you speak who cares to. 8 MR. HETTEL: There seems to be no opposition. 9 MR. SIEGEL: There seems to be no opposition. 10 Do we act at this point, or do we wait --11 MR. HETTEL: You can defer it until deeper into 12 the meeting. 13 MR. SIEGEL: Okay, so we'll do that. 14 If there are no other questions or comments 15 regarding this request, then we will move into our monthly 16 meeting. 17 The first item on the agenda is, of course, the 18 minutes from the previous meeting, which have been distrib-19 uted, they're in your packet. 20 Any comments, questions, additions, please, to 21 those minutes. If not, we'll entertain a motion to approve 22 them. 23 MR. J. SARGEANT REYNOLDS, JR.: So moved. 24 MR. SIEGEL: Second. 25 All in favor?

CAPITOL REPORTING, INC.

NOTE: All indicated by voting aye. 1 2 MR. SIEGEL: Okay. We are approved. 3 Any Commissioner Comments before we move further 4 Any Commissioner have a comment? in? 5 MS. DAWSON: Yes, Mr. Chairman, I would like to mention the fact that one of the leading breeders and owners 6 7 in the Thoroughbred industry in Virginia passed away this 8 past week, Mignon C. Smith, who was the owner of Mede Cahaba 9 Stable & Stud. She raced many horses at Colonial Downs, and 10 she was active in steeplechase racing as well. She was in-11 volved in the horse industry her whole life, and she passed 12 away at the age of 81. She never stopped being involved. 13 In fact, I think she still had some horses racing at the 14 time. 15 So I just wanted to recognize that fact. She 16 also had sponsored some big races at Colonial Downs in the 17 past, so we need more people like Mignon. 18 MR. PETRAMALO: Yes. 19 MR. SIEGEL: Thank you for that comment. I think 20 it's appropriate that we recognize people in the industry 21 that have been supportive of racing in Virginia and breeding 22 in Virginia as well. 23 I'm going to suggest to Staff that we send a note 24 of condolence to the family and thank them for all the years 25 of service that she provided to this industry.

CAPITOL REPORTING, INC.

MR. HETTEL: We certainly will. 1 2 MR. SIEGEL: Any other other Commissioner comments 3 before we move forward? 4 There was no response. NOTE: 5 MR. SIEGEL: Okay. Next on the agenda would be 6 the committee reports, Breeders Fund Standardbred Subcommittee. 7 8 Sarge? 9 MR. J. SARGEANT REYNOLDS, JR.: Yes, Mr. Chairman. 10 These are the proposed expenditures from the 11 Harness portion of the Virginia Breeders Fund for 2012. 12 The Harness Subcommittee of the Virginia Breeders Fund voted 13 unanimously to recommend the following expenditures from the 14 Harness portion of the Virginia Breeders Fund for 2012: 15 1. \$240,000 to partially fund 8 Virginia-bred 16 Stake races to be contested at Colonial Downs on Sunday, 17 October 14th; 18 2. Approximately \$18,000 for a 20 percent 19 owner's bonus program for Virginia-bred horses that finish 20 first through fifth in unrestricted races during the 2012 21 meeting at Colonial Downs; 22 Approximately \$12,000 for year-end awards to 3. 23 to the breeders of Virginia-bred horses; and. 24 \$50,000 to the Virginia Harness Horsemen's 4. 25 Association for the administration of the fund. CAPITOL REPORTING, INC.

I'd like to make a motion that we approve this as 1 2 read. 3 MR. SIEGEL: Is there a second? 4 MR. REYNOLDS: Second. 5 MS. DAWSON: Second. 6 MR. SIEGEL: Any comments, questions? There's a 7 lot in that. If there's any comments from the Horsemen or 8 the Track or anyone in attendance, we'll certainly entertain 9 those comments. 10 There was no response. NOTE: 11 MR. SIEGEL: Hearing none, all in favor, say aye. 12 NOTE: All indicated by voting aye. 13 MR. SIEGEL: The ayes have it. 14 MR. J. SARGEANT REYNOLDS, JR.: That's my report, 15 Mr. Chairman. 16 MR. SIEGEL: Thank you, Sarge. 17 Next on the agenda is the Executive Secretary's 18 Bernie, you have a number of amendments to cover? report. MR. HETTEL: Yes, the Commission Staff recommends 19 20 the following administrative regs amendments and changes --21 most of these are bookkeeping and clarification of the verb-22 iage entailed. The details are within your booklet; they're 23 highlighted in your booklet and it's related to 11 Virginia 24 Administrative Code 10-50-40, which is licensed veterin-25 arians -- and I'll seek approval after all of these.

1 MR. SIEGEL: Okay. 2 MR. HETTEL: The next one is 11 Virginia 3 Administrative Code 10-60-20 for practicing Veterinarians 4 and Jockey Agents. 5 The third is the amendment relating to Commission 6 Veterinarians, which is entailed at 10-80-30 under duties, 7 and the next one is the amendment of the coupling regulation 8 that's entailed in code 10-110-90. 9 The final one is the medication, and this is 10 entailed at 10-180-60. 11 We will replace the verbiage in several pages 12 that are enumerated in your booklet with the reference 13 document known as the Uniform Classification Guidelines For 14 Foreign Substances and recommended penalties and model rules 15 that is published and republished and updated very regularly 16 by the Association of Racing Commissioners International, 17 and the necessity to do that is because this is a living 18 document and it changes very regularly. Rather than be held 19 to the current 2011 regs, this permits the Commission and 20 the Racing Stewards to use this as a reference document to 21 go ahead and deal with administrative reg violations on 22 possible medication violations. 23 So I seek the approval of those changes, and I ask 24 for your motion. 25 MR. SIEGEL: All right. Well, let's get a motion

	14
1	on the floor first to approve these amendments.
2	MS. DAWSON: So moved.
3	MR. SIEGEL: Second?
4	MR. REYNOLDS: Second.
5	MR. SIEGEL: Okay. Discussion typically would
6	certainly the Horsemen and other constituencies here would
7	be the ones most affected by this, I would be interested in
8	hearing comments.
9	MR. PETRAMALO: We've reviewed them and have no
10	objection.
11	MR. SIEGEL: Okay. Anyone else have any comments,
12	questions about any of these changes?
13	MS. SMITH: We've also reviewed them and have no
14	objection.
15	MR. SIEGEL: So everybody's
16	Okay. All in favor, say aye.
17	NOTE: All indicated by voting aye.
18	MR. SIEGEL: Any opposed?
19	NOTE: There was no response.
20	MR. SIEGEL: The ayes have it.
21	Well, moving right along, we have again,
22	Colonial wants to present I guess a Second Tri Promotion
23	that has again been presented to us here, better late than
24	never.
25	MR. WEINBERG: Mr. Stewart will describe the
	CAPITOL REPORTING, INC.

1 promotion.

2

MR. STEWART: Good morning.

As you may remember, we presented a a Second Tri Promotion during the harness meet. This is -- well, it's a very new concept that we developed and it combines elements of pari-mutuel wagering along with a sweepstakes type of promotion.

Basically, what happens is our customers can buy a pari-mutuel ticket and if you buy a qualifying pari-mutuel ticket on a specific race for a specific wager, then they have the opportunity to play our promotion, which if you haven't seen it, Jim will pass out some pictures of how it looks during a harness meet.

We ran it here at the track at the opening of the harness meet in January. It was basically market research on our part to really understand what our customers are looking for, what makes it attractive and such that we can devise it and modify it so that when we roll it out more extensively, we can obviously maximize our opportunities for success.

The game itself -- as you may remember, we had a promoter for World Touch Gaming come by here prior to the harness meet to demonstrate the game. It's a sweepstakes game; there's a -- everything has a pre-determined outcome. There's nothing that the player can do playing the game that

CAPITOL REPORTING, INC.

impacts the outcome. It's just an entertaining way to re veal the result, and it's revealed in a form that simulates
 a slot machine; however, it is not a slot machine. It looks
 like one, but it is not one.

5 So, what we'd like to do is we'd like to start 6 offering this promotion at our Scott County OTB. Mr. Wein-7 berg can go through why we believe that the game is legal. 8 Obviously, we've been running it for the last four months, and we have a letter from the New Kent Commonwealth's At-9 10 torney that concurred with our opinion, and we have a letter 11 from the Scott County Commonwealth's Attorney who concurs 12 with us that our game is legal.

So at any rate, we'd like to start the game on March the 28th in Scott County and continue on to the end of July. I'm not here this morning to ask the Commission's permission to run the promotion; what we're here for this morning is to talk about a change in the take-out in order to facilitate the promotion.

19 Did you pass out the other sheet? 20 MR. WEINBERG: Yes. We've got it right here. 21 MR. STEWART: Basically, as I'm sure you know, 22 the take-out is what's left after what is paid back to the 23 bettors. Sort of a standard rule of thumb is that 80 per-24 cent goes back to the wagerers and 20 percent gets distrib-25 uted to the track and to others.

CAPITOL REPORTING, INC.

If you break it down a little further, you have 1 2 what are called straight wagers, which is a win-place-or-3 show wager, and then you have a few exotic wagers which are 4 everything else. Typically, what happens is more is paid 5 back to the bettor on a straight wager than is on an exotic wager. For instance, here in Virginia, 18 percent goes back 6 7 to the bettor on a straight wager; 22 percent goes back on 8 an exotic wager. However, this is not uniform throughout 9 the country. There's a wide range of take-outs. 10 What we're proposing here is that the return to 11 the player will be 75 percent, which is a 25 percent take 12 out, but that return is going to come in two forms. It's going to come in the form of a 60 percent return on the 13 14 pari-mutuel wager and then a 15 percent return in the prize 15 pool. 16 what we're -- so in total, the wagerer in general 17 will receive 75 percent back, which is pretty comparable to 18 what you see across the country if you look at exotic 19 wagers. 20 The way this is going to work is that we're 21 going to have a separate pool on a race at Balmoral, which 22 is a harness track out of Illinois. The reason we're using 23 that track is that it runs five nights a week and it's a 24 night track. We'll have a separate pool on the last race; it will only be offered in Scott County. 25

CAPITOL REPORTING, INC.

The reason we need -- we're going to use a separate pool is that we want a different take-out on that race than there will be on the other Balmoral races. So the only place that this take-out that we're requesting this morning will take place is on the last race at Balmoral at Scott County because that's the only place we're going to offer it.

8 The beauty of the separate pool is that all of 9 the pari-mutuel payout will occur to the people that are 10 wagering into this separate pool. It's as if all of us in 11 this room were in a separate pool that wagered on a race 12 where all the money that's won will be won by the people in In a comingled race, that money is won by people 13 this room. throughout the country, so theoretically, we could all wager 14 15 and none of us could win. We could all have paid somebody in Pennsylvania. But the beauty of this is that it will all 16 17 be paid to somebody at the Scott County OTB which will be participating in this Second Tri promotion. 18

We believe that this will enable us to attract a very different demographic than is currently coming to our OTB, and as I've said over the years more than once, our best chance to prosper is to grow the pie for everybody so that that is really the objective of this promotion, to bring in some new players and introduce them to pari-mutuel wagering in a way that I think they'll find entertaining,

CAPITOL REPORTING, INC.

and they'll get the experience of buying a pari-mutuel tick et and cashing in the pari-mutuel ticket, and the best way
 to create a player is to have a them cash in a pari-mutuel
 ticket.

And it's interesting -- you know, I went down 5 6 every afternoon and kind of looked around when we were run-7 ning the Second Tri over at the race track, and what you 8 found the first couple weeks is that, you know, people were 9 sitting there playing the game, and I don't think they were 10 paying that much attention to the horses, but after a couple 11 weeks, we went down and saw that everybody had a program. 12 They all of a sudden figured out that that pari-mutuel ticket they bought had value, so I think we created some play-13 14 ers, so I'm very optimistic about this program.

So at any rate, in order to make this work and to create enough of a prize pool to meet all of the obligations that are required under the law and to pay the expenses of running the promotion, because it's not free, and to have a return to the track, that's why we're requesting a 40 percent take-out.

21 Jim can take you through some of the legal aspects 22 of that.

23 MR. WEINBERG: Obviously, if there any
24 questions --

25

MR. SIEGEL: Any questions thus far?

MS. DAWSON: I have one. You mentioned that 1 2 this would only be in one location, Scott County, and that 3 it would be for a particular period of time. 4 MR. STEWART: Uh-huh. 5 Do you intend it to be a continuing MS. DAWSON: 6 thing? Are you going to try to expand it to other locations? Is this just a trial, or what? 7 8 MR. STEWART: Well, our goal is to expand it, but it's like a lot of things -- you have to kind of walk before 9 10 you run. 11 The Scott County attorney's opinion is that a 12 sweepstakes has to have a beginning and an end, so our 13 sweepstakes is going to parallel the Triple Crown and the 14 Colonial Downs live meet. At the end of that sweepstakes, 15 we may have another sweepstakes. 16 MR. SIEGEL: Well, I think it's fair to say that 17 this is a test to expand it much like you have done during 18 the standardbred meet at the track to see how it works, and 19 other Counties may not necessarily feel the same way as the 20 Commonwealth's Attorney did in Scott County, so you really 21 can expand it only as good as you're granted from these Com-22 monwealth's Attorneys. So hopefully if this is a good ex-23 perience, then you can use Scott County as an example, and 24 perhaps you might find most, if not all, of the other count-25 ies would go along with it as well.

1 MR. STEWART: Agreed. 2 MR. SIEGEL: Any other questions before Jim 3 presents the numbers here? 4 MS. SMITH: Yes, Mr. Chairman, I would like to 5 know if we could get a little, I guess, feedback from the promotion this fall? I mean was it financially successful? 6 Did it achieve, I guess, the desired result? 7 8 MR. STEWART: Well, I think it was successful in 9 the sense that we got the game off the ground. We think it 10 attracted a number of people to play it. It wasn't partic-11 ularly financially successful to the race track; however, 12 what you have to appreciate, and you may find this surpising, but if you were to run a ring study at intervals of 13 five, ten, and 20 miles around this race track and around

14 five, ten, and 20 miles around this race track and around 15 our OTB in Scott County, there's literally about five times 16 as many people in Scott County as there is here. So if we 17 could bring in five times as many customers we brought here 18 to the race track, I think we'll do very well.

MR. WEINBERG: I guess I would add, just so that we are clear: The game is a promotion. It's a marketing expense. The track doesn't make money on the promotional game. It is all designed to build handle, from which everyone benefits.

24 We've talked about not re-slicing the pie. This 25 is really a promotion designed to put more food on the table

CAPITOL REPORTING, INC.

1 so to speak than re-slicing the pie.

2 MR. STEWART: And Jim's exactly right. The only 3 cash flow on this promotion is in the sale of the pari-mut-4 uel tickets. There's no revenue generated by the game it-5 self.

6 MR. WEINBERG: And that's a crucial distinction as 7 we talked about what will be exempted in other localities by 8 the Commonwealth's Attorneys.

9 Just by way of background, over the last year, the 10 well has been poisoned somewhat by other operators who came 11 in and ran games of chance trying to generate revenue on 12 those games and calling them a promotion. This is clearly 13 not that.

14 Nonetheless, we are somewhat starting behind to 15 demonstrate to law enforcement officials we are not that; 16 this is what we regard as a true promotion designed to sell 17 a product.

18 MR. SIEGEL: It may require some selling on your19 part.

MR. WEINBERG: That's right.

20

MR. PETRAMALO: May I ask a question? How did you calculate the two percent going to the purses? Where did that two percent number come from? MR. STEWART: It's comes from the contract with the harness horsemen.

1 MR. PETRAMALO: Okay. 2 MR. SIEGEL: Which was a reduction from the 3 previous, correct? 4 MS. SMITH: Yes, that was a reduction that we 5 agreed to in Scott County in particular. 6 MR. WEINBERG: But clearly, as we hope to move 7 to other locations, it will change depending upon that 8 satellite facility and the race track. 9 MR. PETRAMALO: Thank you. 10 MR. J. SARGEANT REYNOLDS, JR.: Do you think 11 if it's successful it might be good for a place like Major 12 Willy's and McCool's and those type of places, and not just, 13 you know, a satellite wagering facility? Are you trying to 14 attract a new crowd? 15 MR. STEWART: Well, I think those might be good 16 ideas. Our first objective would be to roll it out on Hull 17 Street. 18 MR. J. SARGEANT REYNOLDS, JR.: Have you talked to 19 anybody in Richmond yet, the Commonwealth's Attorney? 20 MR. STEWART: No, that's in process. 21 MR. J. SARGEANT REYNOLDS, JR.: Okay. 22 MR. WEINBERG: Let me just walk you through the 23 request of the Commission. 24 The Racing Act provides for separate pools, which 25 is one of the motivating factors for this Promotion, but we CAPITOL REPORTING, INC.

require the Commission's approval to conduct that separate
 pool, so that really is the first request. For the reasons
 Mr. Stewart articulated, we'd like to use a 40 percent take out for that separate pool.

As the Commission Staff has pointed out to me, and I'm appreciative of, in order to do that under the Interstate Horse Racing Act, there must be a matching 40 percent pool on live racing in Virginia so that if you are going to conduct a 40 percent pool on a simulcast race for a Quinella wager, then the Quinella wager in Virginia must also be a 40 percent pool or higher take-out.

Hence, the second request: That as permitted in the Racing Act to adjust the 22 percent take out on exotic wagers for Quinella wagers, that be increased to 40 percent.

And that is the nature of the request. They go hand-in-hand. The Quinella wager is authorized in the regulations, but we're not asking for any new regulations to address a Quinella wager. It just, in all candor, has not been a popular wager because the odds are the Exacta box, which mirror the Quinella, but are generally better than a Quinella.

Nonetheless, it would satisfy the requirements of
the Interstate Horse Racing Act to have the ability to offer
a Quinella wager in Virginia at 40 percent.

25

MR. J. SARGEANT REYNOLDS, JR.: And this will just

CAPITOL REPORTING, INC.

1

be the last race down in Scott County?

2 MR. WEINBERG: On this take for the simulcast 3 portion, yes; and as Mr. Stewart showed, nothing is altered 4 by that 40 percent take-out because everyone who would nor-5 mally get their share of the pari-mutuel wagering will get 6 their share.

7 MR. SIEGEL: Just out of curiosity, have you ever
8 offered Quinella wagering at the race track?

9 MR. STEWART: We have not, to my knowledge. 10 MR. SIEGEL: You obviously have the statistics. 11 I for one have always enjoyed that at a race track, I think, but just -- I guess I'm wondering -- on the few, I guess be-12 13 cause obviously the odds are not that good as it would be, 14 but people recognize what the bet is when they make it. 15 Just curious as to whether other race tracks are still doing 16 that? I know dog tracks do it more often than race tracks 17 or horse tracks.

MR. STEWART: Jeanna may be able to speak to that. MS. BOUZEK: We've never taken it here, and it used to be a few years back, it's been quite a few years, we weren't even allowed to wager on Quinellas from the OTB on other tracks. That was lifted sometime ago, so we do take wagers on any track that offers Quinellas.

24 MR. SIEGEL: I'm just curious.25 Okay. Jim, are you done?

1 MR. WEINBERG: I am. I'm happy to respond to 2 questions. 3 MR. SIEGEL: Okay. Questions from the 4 Commissioners or public or horsemen? You look like you have 5 a question. MR. PETRAMALO: Well, just a practical question. 6 7 It's on the last race at Balmoral, which I assume 8 probably goes off at 8:00 or 9:00 or 10:00, and what time 9 does Scott County open? At noon time or thereabouts? 10 MS. BOUZEK: Correct. In other words, if this would 11 MR. PETRAMALO: 12 start at 12:00 o'clock even though the -- and you just run 13 it all day, and then after 10:00, you would know who the 14 winner was for the Ouinella? 15 MR. STEWART: Exactly. 16 MR. PETRAMALO: Okay. 17 MR. WEINBERG: I'm sorry, in order to accommodate 18 the change in the 22 to 40 percent take-out, that request 19 needs to be joined in by one of the representative horse-20 men's group, and I'll let Frank speak to that. 21 MR. PETRAMALO: We support the request to change 22 the Quinella take-out from 22 to 40 percent. 23 MR. SIEGEL: Other questions or comments? All 24 right. 25 MR. LERMOND: Mr. Chairman, I just wanted to go CAPITOL REPORTING, INC.

1 back to the percentage for a second.

2	The Code does let you change the percentage,
3	but when you do, three things have to be adjusted for that
4	change: One is the purses, which is taken care of by the
5	2 percent; the other was the operator's portion; the third
6	is the Breeder's Fund, so if I'm calculating it right, that
7	one percent of the Breeder's Fund is going to go to one
8	twenty-eight two.
9	MR. WEINBERG: Let's be clear, because I think
10	we're confusing two different portions of the Code.
11	On the simulcast wager that's occurring at Scott
12	County, it's governed by Paragraphs M and N, not 392.
13	MR. LERMOND: You don't think that applies, pools
14	generated at each satellite facility
15	MR. WEINBERG: Conducted within the Commonwealth,
16	so that, yes, clearly a Quinella wager on a race at Colonial
17	Downs, you're absolutely right. The purse gets adjusted,
18	the contributions to the three stakeholders, the veterinary,
19	the horse council that gets adjusted, the Breeder's Fund
20	gets adjusted. All rise with the increase from 22 to 40 on
21	all pools generated from races run in Virginia.
22	MR. PETRAMALO: Right.
23	MR. LERMOND: I'm with you.
24	MR. PETRAMALO: If a Quinella were run during our
25	summer, our summer Thoroughbred Meet, rather than getting
	CAPITOL REPORTING, INC.

nine percent for purses for an exotic, we could get 16.35 1 2 percent under that Quinella. 3 MR. LERMOND: Okay. Thank you. Is that the reason why you don't run 4 MR. SIEGEL: it? 5 MR. WEINBERG: Well, this is brand new, and I 6 7 think that as you alluded to earlier, we'll have to see what 8 the experience is in Scott County, and if that proves to be appealing and all the numbers work, we may well --9 10 MR. PETRAMALO: I can say we would prefer 16 per-11 cent versus nine percent. 12 MR. SIEGEL: As you say, Jim, this is an 13 experiment and we'll have to see how it works in Scott 14 County or anywhere before obviously rolling it out, and it 15 may not work at all, in which case it will be discontinued. 16 But I think it might be wise on the part of this Commission, 17 if we choose to approve this, to approve it for some period 18 of time and then let the Track come back to us with the 19 statistics, with the numbers, and we can evaluate it -- and 20 report back to us, and we can evaluate the continuation of 21 it. 22 I'm going to suggest that we approve it through 23 our October 10 meeting, which is -- I guess we'll do that in 24 a minute, but we are going to set a meeting for October 10,

25 which should give you sufficient time to evaluate the pro-

CAPITOL REPORTING, INC.

motion, evaluate how it worked or didn't work, and come back and ask as to whether or not you want to continue it or just bury it there, who knows? Or change it to some other take out that might work or other conditions, if that meets with your approval then --

MR. STEWART: We have no problem.

6

MR. WEINBERG: We very much appreciate it. 7 8 MS. SMITH: Mr. Chairman, to echo your earlier 9 remarks, I only wanted to make a point that the harness horsemen were only advised of this proposal yesterday 10 11 afternoon and didn't get our hands on any piece of paper, 12 the details or any information in the proposals. Therefore, 13 we're simply not in a position to either approve or disap-14 prove, because most of our Board hasn't seen the informat-15 ion.

16 I would request, again as I say, to echo your
17 earlier remarks, that we be given a bit more notice and some
18 more details of information when these kinds of things come
19 up.

Our Executive Secretary, Iain Woolnough, is not here, he's in Florida. This gave him no chance at all to get back here for this meeting, and our president, Dr. Dunavant, is in surgery all day. So again, we are ill-prepared to make a recommendation, and we apologize for that, but I think we would be better served with a longer notice.

1	MR. SIEGEL: And I think the point has been made.
2	I think we're clear about that. It's part of my reasoning
3	for wanting to do this on a short-term basis as well to give
4	everybody a chance to evaluate it; and clearly, the regs
5	suggest that you are part of the process and you will be in
6	the future, and again, just common courtesy to the horsemen
7	as well as the Commission to have this information to us ten
8	days before any presentation to be made for any changes. So
9	I think everybody's clear about that.
10	MS. SMITH: Thank you, sir.
11	MR. SIEGEL: Other comments, questions with
12	regards to this second chance promotion? Staff at all?
13	MR. HETTEL: I'll just point out that the October
14	10th meeting is in conjunction with the harness race meet,
15	so it will be a pretty good meeting.
16	MR. SIEGEL: Right. We're going to go over the
17	calendar here when you talk about setting meeting dates, but
18	I just want to get through this issue, and we obviously have
19	a couple of things to approve here, but any more comments,
20	questions regarding this second chance promotion?
21	NOTE: There was no response.
22	MR. SIEGEL: Okay. So we're seeking approval of
23	both the second chance promotion as well as the satellite
24	wagering facility at Major Willy's, and should we do
25	separate

1 MR. HETTEL: Yes. 2 MR. SIEGEL: I think we should probably do it 3 separately for the record. 4 So the Chair will entertain a motion to approve 5 the license application for the satellite wager facility at Major Willy Mayo's as presented. 6 7 MR. J. SARGEANT REYNOLDS, JR.: So moved. 8 MR. SIEGEL: And a second? 9 MS. DAWSON: Second. 10 MR. SIEGEL: Any other discussion, questions about this motion? 11 12 NOTE: There was no response. 13 MR. SIEGEL: All in favor, aye. 14 NOTE: All indicated by voting aye. 15 MR. SIEGEL: Any opposed? 16 There was no response. NOTE: 17 Secondly, we will ask for a MR. SIEGEL: Okay. 18 motion to approve this Second Tri Promotion in Scott County 19 that has just been presented with an expiration date of 20 October the 10th, to be reviewed. 21 Can I get that motion? 22 MS. DAWSON: So moved. 23 MR. REYNOLDS: Second. 24 MR. SIEGEL: Any other questions, discussions? 25 NOTE: There was no response.

MR. SIEGEL: All in favor, aye? 1 2 NOTE: All indicated by voting aye. 3 MR. SIEGEL: All right. Well, thank everybody for 4 presenting your hard work. The next item is public participation. Anyone 5 from the public here that would like to make a comment about 6 7 any matter? 8 NOTE: There was no response. 9 MR. SIEGEL: In setting the next meeting, we 10 had some conversation at the last meeting about reducing 11 from typically 12 meetings a year, getting it down to six 12 meetings a year. I think it was felt certainly by the Staff 13 and agreed to, I guess, by the Track at least, that we may 14 not need to have guite as many meetings, and we may do as 15 well with six meetings. So we have proposed meeting dates 16 through the end of the year, and as typical with our meeting 17 dates, we obviously at each meeting formally set the next 18 meeting date, which is predetermined, and then if there's 19 any need to change it or alter it a day or two by someone's 20 schedule and whatnot, it is certainly important that this 21 Commission have a quorum and that the horsemen as well as 22 the Track be comfortable with those dates. So they will be 23 subject to change as-needed, but we have dates proposed, so 24 we're going to circulate this, and I think the Executive 25 Secretary has sent some of these around Racing to both meets

CAPITOL REPORTING, INC.

to try to afford an opportunity for us to get together on
 race day and enjoy the race as well.

3 MR. HETTEL: You can read those into the record if
4 you care to, and then we have distribution --

5 MR. SIEGEL: Well, let's go ahead and pass these 6 out, and then we'll take a minute to see if there's any 7 particular objection. We've got copies here.

8 MR. HETTEL: Why don't we go ahead and read it 9 into the record and then if there's any objection --

MR. SIEGEL: Okay, the first two meetings, of course, of the year have been held, this one and the January 17th meeting. The next meeting proposed here is May 9th, which is a Wednesday at 10:00 a.m. Again, we're passing these out so everyone can have a list, but I want to be sure they're in the record.

July 11th, a Wednesday late afternoon. We were talking about having a meeting perhaps at 5:30 that day and then post time that night for racing would be at 7:00 o'clock.

Does that give us enough time?
MR. HETTEL: No, we don't race on Wednesdays.
MR. SIEGEL: Okay. We don't race on Wednesdays.
MR. HETTEL: Okay.
How about the 12th then?

25 MR. SIEGEL: You race Tuesday, right?

CAPITOL REPORTING, INC.

MR. PETRAMALO: No, Thursday. Thursday, Friday, 1 2 Saturday, Sunday. 3 MR. SIEGEL: Okay, so then Thursday would be the 4 12th. 5 MR. HETTEL: Okay. MR. SIEGEL: Well, again, everyone would need to 6 7 have an opportunity to look these over, and of course, we 8 can discuss them at the next meeting, assuming that May 9th 9 works for everyone. Anyone that has objection to any of 10 these later dates can certainly voice it at that time. 11 My question though, Bernie, was a 5:30 meeting 12 with a 7:00 o'clock post time, is that enough time? 13 Should be, depending on what the MR. HETTEL: 14 agenda is, but we can always start it earlier as we get 15 closer to that date. We can change the 5:30 to a 5:00 o'clock. 16 MR. SIEGEL: Well, I think we should set it at 17 18 5:00 o'clock. I say that because most of us like to be able 19 to look at the program before the first race. And I really 20 need to be on time because I know where the track is. 7:00 21 o'clock comes very quickly, and I need a lot of time. 22 MR. PETRAMALO: And as in the past, I'll give you 23 my selections. 24 MR. HETTEL: We can have a handicapping session. 25 MR. SIEGEL: So let's set it at 5:00 o'clock, and

that may not even be enough time, but we'll see what the 1 2 agenda items are. 3 And then the next meeting is October 10th, a 4 Wednesday at 11:00 o'clock, and the harness racing is at 1:00 o'clock on that day. They are racing Wednesdays, 5 correct? 6 We are racing, yes. 7 MS. SMITH: 8 MR. SIEGEL: Then of course the last meeting, 9 November 30th at 10 a.m. 10 And again, we can talk about this at the next 11 meeting, but if there's anyone that knows about a problem 12 other than we're not racing that day, good time to mention 13 it. 14 Yes? 15 MR. WEINBERG: You may just want to look at 16 how you coordinate renewal of the ADW licenses. I think the 17 applications are due December 1st. 18 MR. HETTEL: Well, typically like this year, we 19 did it at the first meeting in January of this year. So, 20 unless there's some major objection to the ADW thing --21 MR. SIEGEL: And of course the 2013 first meeting 22 will be in January, right? 23 MR. WEINBERG: No, as long as -- it's purely 24 housekeeping to make sure that the licenses don't expire on 25 December 31st, which they have traditionally done.

MR. SIEGEL: Yes, we changed that last year as 1 2 well. 3 MR. LERMOND: And that's a good point: In the 4 November meeting, make sure that you approve the extension 5 until the meeting in January. 6 MR. WEINBERG: Thank you. 7 MR. SIEGEL: And Jim, in particular with you and 8 Ian, there may be things that are important to you that may 9 necessitate these dates moving a little bit. I think the 10 goal is to have six meetings, seven I guess if we need to. 11 We had 12 last year, so I think it wouldn't hurt to have 12 seven meetings. 13 But this again, much like your second chance 14 promotion, is a test. Hopefully it works, and if so, then I 15 think we'll continue with it. But unless I hear any par-16 ticular objection today, then we'll accept these dates for 17 the rest of the year subject to taking a look at it at the 18 May meeting. 19 Okay. That said, the -- we have no need for a 20 closed meeting, as I understand it. 21 MR. HETTEL: That is correct. 22 Is there anything else to come before MR. SIEGEL: 23 the Commission before we adjourn? Anybody have a comment? 24 Okay, if there's no further business, we'll 25 entertain -- I'm sorry. Oh, Amy.

1	
1	I just learned actually yesterday that this is
2	Amy's last meeting, that the Lottery has decided they need
3	her full-time because of all the problems that they have,
4	and we have no problems, and so she doesn't have the great
5	need to spend a lot of time with us.
6	But Amy, we wanted to thank you for your years of
7	service. You have been invaluable to us in keeping us out
8	of jail and helping to promote horseracing in Virginia, and
9	we're very appreciative of all of your efforts.
10	We don't, now as I understand at this point, know
11	about ongoing counsel, but I know that Amy will be happy to
12	talk to us any time we need her in the meantime, and so
13	again, our thanks to you, and we wish you well in all the
14	work you need to do at the Lottery that causes you to leave
15	us.
16	Other comments?
17	NOTE: There was no response.
18	MR. SIEGEL: Motion to adjourn?
19	MR. J. SARGEANT REYNOLDS, JR.: So moved.
20	MR. SIEGEL: Second?
21	MS. DAWSON: Second.
22	MR. SIEGEL: We are adjourned.
23	NOTE: The meeting adjourned at 10:54 a.m.
24	
25	

CAPITOL REPORTING, INC.

	38
1	
2	CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER
3	
4	I, Roy Garrison Wood, hereby certify that I was
5	the Court Reporter at the meeting of the Virginia Racing
6	Commission at the time of the hearing herein.
7	Further, that to the best of my ability, the
8	foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the
9	proceedings herein.
10	Given under my hand this 2nd day of May, 2012.
11	
12	Rours Alta box
13	
14	ROY GARRISON WOOD REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL REPORTER
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	CAPITOL REPORTING, INC.